Course: EN.600.437.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Percent Res	oonses			Mear	IS	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					1.05	4.03		4.11
Weak	(2)	0	0.00%					4.65	· ·		°.
Satisfactory	(3)	1	5.00%								
Good	(4)	5	25.00%								
Excellent	(5)	14	70.00%								
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%								
				0	25 50	75	100	Question	School Le	vel Depa	artment L
Return Rate	Mean	STD			School Level	Mean	STD	Department L	.evel	Mean	ST
20/21 (95.24%)	4.65	0.59			7,690	4.03	0.94	901		4.11	0.8
e - The instructor's teaching effectiveness /air Amir Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Percent Res	oonses			Mear	ıs	
Response Option					Percent Res	oonses				IS	4.04
Poor Weak	(1)	0	0.00%					4.60	4.00		4.01
	(2)	0	0.00%	12							
Satisfactory Good	(3)	1 6	5.00% 30.00%								
Excellent	(4)	-			_						
	(5)	13 0	65.00% 0.00%								
VA	(0)	0	0.00%	0	25 50	75	100	Question	School Le	vel Depa	artment L
Return Rate	Mean	STD			School Level	Mean	STD	Department L		Mean	ST
20/21 (95.24%)	4.60	0.60			8,133	4.00	1.01	923		4.01	0.9
- The intellectual challenge of this course tesponse Option	e is: Weight	Francisco	Dovooniouo		Percent Res				Mear		
Poor		Frequency 0	Percentage 0.00%		Percent hes	Jonses			4.08	15	4.22
Weak	(1)	0	0.00%	ť				4.80	-100		4.22
Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%	ť							
Good	(3)	4	20.00%	1							
Excellent	(5)	16	80.00%								
	(0)	0	0.00%								
N/A		-		0	25 50	75	100	Question	School Le	vel Depa	artment L
N/A											
N/A Return Rate	Mean	STD			School Level	Mean	STD	Department L	evel	Mean	ST

Course: EN.600.437.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Percent Resp	onses			Mea	ins	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					4.79	4.04	,	4.27
Weak	(2)	0	0.00%					4.15			<u> </u>
Satisfactory	(3)	1	5.00%								
Good	(4)	2	10.00%								
Excellent	(5)	16	80.00%								
N/A	(0)	1	5.00%	0	25 50	75	100	Question	School L	aval Da	partment Lev
Return Rate	Mean	STD		Ē	School Level	Mean	STD	Departmen		Mean	STD
20/21 (95.24%)	4.79	0.54			7,660	4.04	1.06	899		4.27	0.93
5 - Please enter the name of the TA you evaluated Return Rate	t in question 4										
- Tom Tantillo		,									
- Tom, Dano											
- Daniel Obenshain											
- Daniel Obenshain, Tom Tantillo, Amy Babay											
- Tom, Dan											
- Dano/Tom											
- Tom/Dano/Amy											
- Dano Tom Amy											
- Amy Babay, Daniel Obenshain, Tom Tantillo											
- Amy Babay, Daniel Obenshain, Tom Tantillo											
- Dano, Tom, and Amy											
- Tom, Dano											
- Tom & Dano											
- Daniel Obenshain & Tom Tantillo											
- Daniel Obenshain, Tom Tantillo											

Course: EN.600.437.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Resp	onses			Mea	ns	
Disagree strongly	(1)	1	5.00%					3.78		3.88
Disagree somewhat	(2)	2	10.00%				4.35	0.10		
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	0	0.00%							
Agree somewhat	(4)	3	15.00%							
Agree strongly	(5)	14	70.00%							
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%	1						
				0 25 50	75	100	Question	School L	evel Dep	artment Le
		STD		School Level		OTO				STD
Return Rate	Mean	510		School Level	Mean	STD	Departmen	t Level	Mean	316
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi	4.35 is level, the workle	1.23		7,644	3.78	1.07	Departmen 899		3.88	-
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi	4.35	1.23	rse is: Percentage		3.78	-	•		3.88	-
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi Response Option	4.35 is level, the workle	1.23		7,644	3.78	-	. 899	Mea	3.88 ns	1.07
	4.35 is level, the worklo Weight	1.23 Dad for this cou Frequency	Percentage	7,644	3.78	-	•		3.88 ns	-
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi Response Option Much lighter	4.35 is level, the worklo Weight (1)	1.23 Dead for this cou Frequency 0	Percentage 0.00%	7,644	3.78	-	. 899	Mea	3.88 ns	1.07
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi Response Option Much lighter Somewhat lighter Typical	4.35 is level, the worklow Weight (1) (2)	1.23 Dead for this cou Frequency 0 0	Percentage 0.00% 0.00%	7,644	3.78	-	. 899	Mea	3.88 ns	1.07
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi Response Option Much lighter Somewhat lighter	4.35 is level, the worklow Weight (1) (2) (3)	1.23 Dead for this cou Frequency 0 0 0	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	7,644	3.78	-	. 899	Mea	3.88 ns	1.07
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi Response Option Much lighter Somewhat lighter Typical Somewhat heavier	4.35 is level, the worklo Weight (1) (2) (3) (4)	1.23 ad for this cou Frequency 0 0 0 0 6	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 30.00%	7,644 Percent Resp	3.78	1.07	. 899	Mea	3.88 ns	1.0
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi Response Option Much lighter Somewhat lighter Typical Somewhat heavier Much heavier	4.35 is level, the worklo Weight (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	1.23 ad for this cou Frequency 0 0 0 0 6 14	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 30.00% 70.00%	7,644	3.78	-	. 899	Mea	3.88	3.52
20/21 (95.24%) 7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at thi Response Option Much lighter Somewhat lighter Typical Somewhat heavier Much heavier	4.35 is level, the worklo Weight (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	1.23 ad for this cou Frequency 0 0 0 0 6 14	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 30.00% 70.00%	7,644 Percent Resp	3.78	1.07	4.70	Mea 3.31	3.88	1.07

Course: EN.600.437.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Instructor: Yair Amir *

Return Rate	16/21 (76.19%)
 I really like how the professor takes the tim like how this course does not have tests, onl 	e to meet with each group to make sure that they are going in the right directions for assignments. I also really really really really gig assignments.
- Require lots of thinking	
during my winter vacation.	t person in distributed systems. I am a big fan of his work, and infact i plan to study his research papers and publications st in distributed systems in me and i will be thankful to him for rest of my professional career.
the has certainly induced passion and interes	a in distributed systems in me and i will be thankfur to him for rest of my professional career.
 Students get an understanding of distribute readily available. 	d systems from low-level communication protocols to higher level replication protocols. Extra help outside of class is
Great/effective teacher.	
 The instructor gives you a few (sufficient) to taking the course. 	pols and throws you into the middle of demanding projects. This method allowed many to grow beyond expectations from
The lectures are very well-thought-out.	
The theoretical assignments were really goo They check in on us a lot	od. I enjoyed the correctness proof on the second homework a lot.
 TA are very intelligent and effective. The instructor is very responsible 	
course. At the end of it you can say that you understand every aspect of the course. Every	ared in the computer science department. It has all the challenges and difficulties that you might need to make it the perfec understand the subject in great depth. The professor is very passionate and makes a lot of effort to ensure that you y computer science student should take this course as it forces you to think and solve problems differently. The theoretica oly never see anything similar anywhere else.
- All of the practical assignments. They really	r drove home the different kinds of distributed design that we were learning.
- Theoretical Assignments	
- Solid programming/debugging workout.	
The instructor is excellent and nice.	
The assignments are challenging.	
Prof. Yair Amir's teaching skills - Each student is monitored and guided very	well by both Professor and TAs

4

Course: EN.600.437.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Instructor: Yair Amir *

9 - What are the worst aspects of this course?

Return Rate 12/21 (57.14%)

- Although both the Professor and the teaching assistants are excellent and they give so much time and energy in helping the students in the assignments. But i did not like the way theoretical parts of this course were evaluated. The theoretical assignments were evaluated very strictly and arbitrarily, for example in one of my assignments i lost 13 points due to a typo!

And in another i probably lost points just because the way i tried to prove it was not the way Professor wanted the proof to go. Atleast i felt really disheartened and disappointed after seeing my performance in theoretical assignments inspite of putting in a good amount of effort in them. I personally respect Professor Yair Amir so much, that this kind of evaluation definitely made me lose confidence in me, but again that might be a part of learning. Overall i think this is a great class from one of the best persons in industry and academia in distributed systems.

Dr. Amir will often run out of time during lecture and can't finish the slides for that day.

- The mini projects and final projects take too long. I learned a lot while doing them, but I think they could be made slightly easier or less time consuming without sacrificing much learning.

- The instructor gives you a few tools and throws you into the middle of demanding projects.

- The projects are long and arduous. They are not clearly explained.

The crunch time just before the final project was due.

The scheduling of the final project was really awkward. The design was due 2 days after the project was given, which was way too fast, and then we finally got going on the project too late.

Heavy work

Nothing much really except the workload so be prepared.

- Not really anything. The lectures weren't as great as the assignments, but that doesn't mean they weren't pretty darn good.

None

- 1. Not being allowed to use standard builtin data structures -- lots of busywork duplicating existing functionality.

2. The expectation that a design will survive implementation is, in my opinion, unreasonable.

There was only one thing that I disagree in this course. I think that the assignments should not be done in pairs.
 I understand that since this is not the programming course, the concepts that we understand are more important than the implementation, but I was struggling in the team assignments since me and partner have quite a big gap in experience.

We tried two approaches and they didn't work very well. First, we tried pair programming and I felt like when we programmed together, it was slow than when I programmed alone and he didn't have much time to meet up, so we split the work, I did my parts, and he did his parts. It turned out that when we merged, there were many bugs that I had to reread the whole code he wrote again and fixed it myself, which was a lot harder than to fix my code.

I didn't want to complain too much because I felt like many groups were having the same situation. But I just feel like it's not quite fair for a partner that did a lot more job in the assignments.

Course: EN.600.437.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Instructor: Yair Amir *

10 - What would most improve this class?

10/21 (47.62%) Return Rate

- I think it might be a little more help to the students if the theoretical concepts and the way theoretical proofs need to be written is explained better. And i honestly disagree with the way i was given points, i think it was just too strict and random. This kind of way of evaluation can definitely make people lose confidence in their abilities and might even defeat the very purpose of this class. I would strongly request the Professor to encourage students and let the driving force be passion and interest in a course, rather than "fear of failing", i think interest developed at this stage will go a long way in building their careers.

If the instructor gave more time for some of the projects and had more hands on introductions to using the relevant network code.

Learning about and using real, practical distributed systems.

I'm not sure what exactly I would do to improve it yet. I'll let them know when I do.

- I would tweak the third assignment a little and make it more challenging. It is sort of a let down after the intensity of the first two. In addition, I would add one more theoretical assignment because I simply loved them and that was the best part of the course for me.

- Occasionally, the professor had a tendency to spend a significant amount of time on non-material-related philosophy, like how the course was self-selecting and we were all very very good (just an example from the last day. There were others too). While nice to hear, I think that we all wanted to hear more about the material instead.

- We should be required to demonstrate that we know the data structures we need to use, sure. But once we do that, we should be allowed to use whatever we want, within reason.

Also, subgoals within projects would help in pacing the extremely large assignments.

Ask students to preview slides before classes.

Everything was good

- More lectures about the current research work would be helpful

Course: EN.600.437.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Yair Amir * Instructor:

Return Rate	16/21 (76.1	9%)							
- Assignments for this class will take up all	our free time.								
- Computer Networking, experience with Uniz	k/Linux system								
 It is a great class by one of the best minds not feel disheartened even if you do not do v and you will learn a lot. 									
- There is a lot of work and do heed Dr. Amir	s advice when he	tells you to sta	irt early.						
- Yair is a great professor but the class is a le	ot of work.								
This course will take up a lot of time, but wi	nat you get in excl	nange is worth	it.						
- The work is all theoretical, not practical cor									
The work comes in bunches									
- love programming and debugging.									
									- 6 Alman
 You should know C pretty well and should important thing is the design stage which yo he is doing. 									
Start the assignments ahead of time. You'll	thank yourself lat	er.							
- Heavy workload									
- 1. This is possibly the most demanding cou	rse in Computer S	cience, in term	ns of pure work.	In terms	of intelle	ctual d	lifficul	ty, it's	not bad.
2. Solid programming experience is necessa	ry.								
Computer Networks. Preview slides before	classes.								
- Very fun class. I like it a lot.									
Networks, mainly how TCP/UDP works - C Programming - Data Structures									
12 - This course improved my appreciation for	or and/or ability to	engage in life-	long learning.						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Percent I	Respor	nses		
Disagree strongly	(1)	1	5.00%						
Disagree somewhat	(2)	0	0.00%						
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	1	5.00%						
Agree somewhat	(4)	6	30.00%						
Agree strongly	(5)	12	60.00%		0E 5	0 7	75	100	
Return Rate				0	25 5	0 /	75	100	
20/21 (95.24%)				1					

Course: EN.600.437.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Perc	ent Re	sponse	s
Disagree strongly	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree somewhat	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	3	15.00%					
Agree somewhat	(4)	6	30.00%					
Agree strongly	(5)	11	55.00%					
				0	25	50	75	100
Return Rate				-				
20/21 (95.24%) 14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effe	ectively in a te	am.						
	ectively in a te Weight	am. Frequency	Percentage		Perc	ent Re	sponse	s
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effe			Percentage 0.00%		Perc	ent Re	sponse	S
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effo Response Option	Weight	Frequency			Perc	ent Re	sponse	S
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effo Response Option Disagree strongly	Weight (1)	Frequency 0	0.00%		Perc	ent Re	sponse	S
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effo Response Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat	Weight (1) (2)	Frequency 0 2	0.00% 10.00%		Perc	ent Re	sponse	S
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effor Response Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat Neither agree nor disagree	Weight (1) (2) (3)	Frequency 0 2 1	0.00% 10.00% 5.00%					
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effo Response Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat Neither agree nor disagree Agree somewhat Agree strongly	Weight (1) (2) (3) (4)	Frequency 0 2 1 9	0.00% 10.00% 5.00% 45.00%	0	Perc 25	ent Re	sponse	s 100
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effor Response Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat Neither agree nor disagree Agree somewhat	Weight (1) (2) (3) (4)	Frequency 0 2 1 9	0.00% 10.00% 5.00% 45.00%					

Course: EN.600.337.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Res	oonses		Mea	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				4.03		4.11
Weak	(2)	1	11.11%				4.56	1 1	•
Satisfactory	(3)	0	0.00%						
Good	(4)	1	11.11%						
Excellent	(5)	7	77.78%						
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%						
		r		0 25 50	75 1	100	Question School L	evel Depa	artment L
Return Rate	Mean	STD		School Level	Mean	STD	Department Level	Mean	ST
9/9 (100%)	4.56	1.01		7,690	4.03	0.94	901	4.11	0.8
2 - The instructor's teaching effectiveness i Yair Amir									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Resp	oonses		Mea		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%				4.00		4.01
Weak	(2)	1	11.11%				4.44		Ů
Satisfactory	(3)	0	0.00%						
Good	(4)	2	22.22%						
Excellent	(5)	6	66.67%						
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%	0 25 50	75 1	100			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		School Level	Mean	STD	Question School L Department Level	Mean	artment L
9/9 (100%)	4.44	1.01		8,133	4.00	1.01	923	4.01	0.9
3 - The intellectual challenge of this course	is:								
		Frequency	Percentage	Percent Res	oonses		Меа	ns	
Response Option	Weight	Frequency 0	Percentage 0.00%	Percent Resp	oonses				4.22
Response Option Poor	Weight (1)		0.00%	Percent Res	oonses				4.22
Response Option Poor Weak	Weight	0	-	Percent Res	oonses				4.22
Response Option Poor Weak Satisfactory	Weight (1) (2)	0	0.00% 0.00%	Percent Res	oonses				4.22
3 - The intellectual challenge of this course Response Option Poor Weak Satisfactory Good Excellent	Weight (1) (2) (3)	0 0 0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	Percent Res	oonses				4.22
Response Option Poor Weak Satisfactory Good	Weight (1) (2) (3) (4)	0 0 0 2	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 22.22%	Percent Res	ponses				4.22
Response Option Poor Weak Satisfactory Good Excellent	Weight (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	0 0 0 2 7	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 22.22% 77.78%	Percent Res	_	100			4.22
Response Option Poor Weak Satisfactory Good Excellent	Weight (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	0 0 0 2 7	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 22.22% 77.78%		_	100 STD	4.78		•

Course: EN.600.337.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Instructor: Yair Amir *

	-	-										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Perc	ent Res	ponses			Меа	ns	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%						4.89	4.04		4.27
Weak	(2)	0	0.00%						1100	°.		•
Satisfactory	(3)	0	0.00%									
Good	(4)	1	11.11%									
Excellent	(5)	8	88.89%									
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%									
			•	0	25	50	75	100	Question	School L	evel Depa	artment Level
Return Rate	Mean	STD			School L	evel	Mean	STD	Departmen	t Level	Mean	STD
9/9 (100%)	4.89	0.33			7,66	0	4.04	1.06	899		4.27	0.93

5 - Please enter the name of the TA you evaluated in question 4: Return Rate 8/9 (88.89%)

- Daniel Obenshain, Tom Tantillo, Amy Babay

- Tom Tantillo, Daniel Obenshain, Amy Babay

- Tom, Dano, Amy

- Tom and Dano - these guys were amazing, I've never been in a class where the TA's were so helpful and put in so much time to the course. Thanks guys!

- Tom and Dano

- Tom, Dano, Amy

- Tom Tantillo, Daniel Obenshain, Amy Babay

- All three

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Perc	cent Res	ponses			Mea	ns	
Disagree strongly	(1)	0	0.00%						4.89	3.78		3.88
Disagree somewhat	(2)	0	0.00%	1					4.00			-
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	0	0.00%									
Agree somewhat	(4)	1	11.11%									
Agree strongly	(5)	8	88.89%									
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%	1								
				0	25	50	75	100	Question	School L	evel Dep	artment Level
Return Rate	Mean	STD			School	Level	Mean	STD	Departmen	t Level	Mean	STD
9/9 (100%)	4.89	0.33			7,64	4	3.78	1.07	899		3.88	1.07
	•	•						1				1

Course: EN.600.337.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Instructor: Yair Amir *

7 - Compared to other Hopkins courses at this level	, the worklo	oad for this cou	rse is:									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Perce	ent Res	ponses			Mea	ins	
Much lighter	(1)	0	0.00%						4.67			2.52
Somewhat lighter	(2)	0	0.00%						4.07	3.31		3.52
Typical	(3)	0	0.00%							•		
Somewhat heavier	(4)	3	33.33%									
Much heavier	(5)	6	66.67%									
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%									
			-	0	25	50	75	100	Question	School L	evel Depa	artment Level
Return Rate	Mean	STD			School L	evel	Mean	STD	Departmen	t Level	Mean	STD
9/9 (100%)	4.67	0.50			7,654	1	3.31	0.99	899		3.52	1.07

8 - What are the best aspects of this course?	
Return Rate	8/9 (88.89%)

- Doing the projects actually helps you learn a lot.

- The availability of help from the instructor and TA's was the only reason I passed this course. The instructor and TA's are the only ways to learn and make it through both the programming assignments and the theoretical assignments.

- Lectures are great, the course staff is very dedicated. Assignments are intellectually challenging. Programming is done with partners, which can be helpful.

- The projects

- The TA's were absolutely excellent. They always took the time to answer any question that you had. The instructor is one of the best that I have had. He really makes sure that you understand all of the material and more than that can actually implement it in you own distributed system. You will definitely learn a lot from this course. It is evident that the instructor puts a lot of thought and effort into this course. You will definitely learn a lot from this course. It learning experience.

- The material is fascinating, and, like all of Amir's course, after you take this you WILL know the material inside and out.

- Hands on learning. The approach to teaching this class was unlike any other course at Hopkins. Yair taught us how to create protocols rather than just learn existing protocols for distributed computing.

- Project based course - it means I got to apply the material, not just learn it

9 - What are the worst aspects of this course?	
Return Rate	7/9 (77.78%)

- Doing the projects is extremely difficult, mostly because we do not get taught how to code them at all during class. All we do is learn the theory, and then get thrown into the projects. I got the theory down every time, but figuring out how to code everything was ridiculously difficult, frustrating, and time-consuming.

- The theoretical assignments are done individually and there is no encouragement to discuss them with other classmates which would be extremely productive and insightful.

- The final project is very complex, and must be done in a short amount of time. Programming can get bogged down with low-level stuff.

No test

It was quite a bit of work, not sure if that is necessarily a bad aspect though.

Oh god the workload.

Work load is tough at times. This course is all about time management and teamwork.

Course: EN.600.337.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

Instructor: Yair Amir *

Return Rate	6/9 (66.67%	6)									
Code tutorials that don't just explain wh	at things do, but how	they should be	o changed to w	ork in we	ve thet	we cor	imple	mont in			
		-	-				-			5.	
This class would be most improved if the	e theoretical assignm	ents could be o	discussed amor	ng fellow	classm	nates ar	nd worl	ked on t	gether.		
Being able to use standard C library cou	ld make assignments	easier.									
Please make a test											
I think it should probably be four credits.											
Either make it a 45 credit class to reflect nd myself we put in almost 200 man-hou		put in or limit	the number of h	ours that	Amir c	can exp	oect a s	tudent t	work in 2	weeks (be	tween my p
1 - What should prospective students known eadings, grading systems, and so on.)	ow about this course	before enrollir	ng? (You may co	omment o	on any a	aspect	of this	course	uch as as	sumed bad	kground,
Return Rate	7/9 (77.78%	%)									
uch more time than any other assignme You should be comfortable programming would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical nent - these people wi	background is	needed. ings to you that	will keep	o you a	wake, s	stresse	d out, a	d unhappy	for the w	role semes
This class involves programming project nuch more time than any other assignme. You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm But at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm still Grading system feels holistic. In other w Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects -	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical ent - these people wi g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g	background is ill do terrible th ed you and feel n.	needed. ings to you that ing that you acc	t will keep complishe	o you a ed som	wake, s ething	stresse by def	d out, ai eating th	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes
nuch more time than any other assignment You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm tut at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm still Grading system feels holistic. In other w Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects -	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g start them early!	background is III do terrible th ed you and feel n. get will feel like engage in life-	needed. ings to you that ing that you acc the grade you o long learning.	t will keep complishe	o you av ed som The wor	wake, s lething rk load	stresse by def	d out, ai eating th	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes
Auch more time than any other assignment You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm ut at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm still Grading system feels holistic. In other w Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects -	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g start them early!	background is ill do terrible th ed you and feel n. et will feel like engage in life- Frequency	needed. ings to you that ing that you acc the grade you o long learning. Percentage	t will keep complishe	o you av ed som The wor	wake, s ething	stresse by def	d out, ai eating th	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes
Auch more time than any other assignme You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm ut at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm stil Grading system feels holistic. In other w Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects - 2 - This course improved my appreciation esponse Option Isagree strongly	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical ent - these people wi g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g start them early!	background is ill do terrible th ed you and feel n. get will feel like engage in life- Frequency 0	needed. ings to you that ing that you act the grade you o long learning. Percentage 0.00%	t will keep complishe	o you av ed som The wor	wake, s lething rk load	stresse by def	d out, ai eating th	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes
Auch more time than any other assignme You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm ut at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm stil Grading system feels holistic. In other we Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects - 2 - This course improved my appreciation esponse Option Isagree strongly Isagree somewhat	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g start them early! n for and/or ability to Weight (1) (2)	background is ill do terrible th ed you and feel n. get will feel like engage in life- Frequency 0 1	needed. ings to you that ing that you acc the grade you of long learning. Percentage 0.00% 11.11%	t will keep complishe	o you av ed som The wor	wake, s lething rk load	stresse by def	d out, ai eating th	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes
nuch more time than any other assignme You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm tut at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm still Grading system feels holistic. In other w Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects - 2 - This course improved my appreciation tesponse Option lisagree strongly lisagree somewhat leither agree nor disagree	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g start them early! n for and/or ability to Weight (1) (2) (3)	background is ill do terrible th ed you and feel n. get will feel like engage in life- Frequency 0 1 0	needed. ings to you that ing that you acc the grade you of the grade you of long learning. Percentage 0.00% 11.11% 0.00%	t will keep complishe	o you av ed som The wor	wake, s lething rk load	stresse by def	d out, ai eating th	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes
nuch more time than any other assignme You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm But at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm still Grading system feels holistic. In other w Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects - 2 - This course improved my appreciation tesponse Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat leither agree nor disagree kgree somewhat	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g start them early! n for and/or ability to Weight (1) (2) (3) (4)	background is ill do terrible th ed you and feel n. get will feel like engage in life- Frequency 0 1	needed. ings to you that ing that you acc the grade you of long learning. Percentage 0.00% 11.11%	t will keep complishe	o you a ed som The wo	wake, s lething rk load	stresse by def	d out, ai eating th	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes
nuch more time than any other assignment You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm But at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm still Grading system feels holistic. In other w Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects - 2 - This course improved my appreciation tesponse Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat leither agree nor disagree	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g start them early! n for and/or ability to Weight (1) (2) (3)	background is ill do terrible th ed you and feel n. yet will feel like engage in life- Frequency 0 1 0 3	needed. ings to you that ing that you acc the grade you of the grade you of <u>Percentage</u> 0.00% 11.11% 0.00% 33.33%	t will keep complishe	o you a ed som The wo	wake, s lething rk load	stresse by def	d out, ai eating th	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes
nuch more time than any other assignment You should be comfortable programming I would definitely recommend taking it. It's hell. It's like pledging the CS departm But at the end you come out of it forgettin ou and you feel superior and that you've guess this is a recommendation? I'm still Grading system feels holistic. In other w Fairly heavy workload, mostly projects - 2 - This course improved my appreciation tesponse Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat leither agree nor disagree gree somewhat	nt or project. g in C. No theoretical g the pain they cause advanced as a perso I not sure myself. ords, the grade you g start them early! n for and/or ability to Weight (1) (2) (3) (4)	background is ill do terrible th ed you and feel n. yet will feel like engage in life- Frequency 0 1 0 3	needed. ings to you that ing that you acc the grade you of the grade you of <u>Percentage</u> 0.00% 11.11% 0.00% 33.33%	e will keep complishe) you a' d som The wor	wake, s lething rk load	stresse by def i is hear	d out, a eating th vier thar	d unhappy e arbitrary	for the w	role semes

4

Course: EN.600.337.01.FA12 : Distributed Systems

13 - This course deepened my understanding of the			-					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage		Perce	ent Res	sponses	3
Disagree strongly	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree somewhat	(2)	1	12.50%					
Neither agree nor disagree	(3)	1	12.50%					
Agree somewhat	(4)	3	37.50%					
Agree strongly	(5)	3	37.50%					
				0	25	50	75	100
Return Rate								
8/9 (88.89%)								
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effecti	velv in a te	am.						
14 - This course enhanced my ability to work effecti Response Option	ively in a te Weight	am. Frequency	Percentage		Perce	ent Res	sponses	3
			Percentage 11.11%		Perce	ent Res	sponses	3
Response Option Disagree strongly	Weight	Frequency	J		Perce	ent Res	sponses	\$
Response Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat	Weight (1)	Frequency 1	11.11%		Perce	ent Res	sponses	\$
Response Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat Neither agree nor disagree	Weight (1) (2)	Frequency 1 0	11.11% 0.00%		Perce	ent Res	sponses	3
Response Option	Weight (1) (2) (3)	Frequency 1 0 0	11.11% 0.00% 0.00%					
Response Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat Neither agree nor disagree Agree somewhat Agree strongly	Weight (1) (2) (3) (4)	Frequency 1 0 0 1	11.11% 0.00% 0.00% 11.11%	0	Perco 25	ent Res	sponses	5
Response Option Disagree strongly Disagree somewhat Neither agree nor disagree Agree somewhat	Weight (1) (2) (3) (4)	Frequency 1 0 0 1	11.11% 0.00% 0.00% 11.11%					