We put these models into the context of the literature on linear filtering and Fourier analysis. This is an advanced section that gives greater understanding but is not required for a basic introduction.

As discussed earlier, simple cell models apply linear filters to images and cells at different spatial locations, performing convolution $\ast$ by applying the same filter $\vec{w}$ across the image:

$$S(\vec{x}) = \vec{w} \ast I(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{y}} w(\vec{x} - \vec{y})I(\vec{y}).$$

It is also convenient to approximate this (take the continuum limit) and express it as an integral:

$$S(\vec{x}) = \int \limits_{\vec{y}} w(\vec{x} - \vec{y})I(\vec{y})d\vec{y}.$$

This continuum limit is a good approximation, if the summation $\sum_{\vec{y}}$ is over a dense set of positions $\vec{y}$, and enables certain type of analysis (e.g., showing that a center-surround cell model sums, approximately, to zero).
Convolving by a Gaussian and derivatives of a Gaussian

Convolving an image by a linear filter produces an output image $S(\vec{x})$ whose form depends on the type of filter $\vec{w}$. For example, if $w(\vec{x})$ is a Gaussian function $G(\vec{x}; \sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left\{-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/(2\sigma^2)\right\}$, then convolution effectively just smooths the image by taking a linear weighted average. If $\vec{w}$ is a derivative of the Gaussian in the $x_1$ direction, $w(\vec{x}) = \frac{d}{dx_1} G(\vec{x}; \sigma)$, then this filter gives a large response to edges, positions $\vec{y}$ where the intensity $I(\vec{y})$ changes abruptly, and has small responses in places where the image intensity changes slowly.
We can better understand images, and linear filtering, by using functional analysis. This states that an image, or any signal, can be expressed uniquely as a weighted sum of basis functions:

\[ I(\vec{x}) = \sum_i \alpha_i b_i(\vec{x}), \quad (1) \]

where the \( b_i(\vec{x}) \) are basis functions and the \( \{\alpha_i\} \) are coefficients. These basis functions are usually chosen to be orthonormal, so that \( \sum_{\vec{x}} b_i(\vec{x}) b_j(\vec{x}) = \delta_{ij} \) (= 1 if \( i = j \) and = 0 if \( i \neq j \)). If the basis functions are orthogonal, then the coefficients \( \alpha \) can be obtained by:

\[ \alpha_i = \sum_{\vec{x}} I(\vec{x}) b_i(\vec{x}). \quad (2) \]
The principle of superposition states that we can determine the output $S$ as a weighted combination of the outputs of the basis functions:

$$S(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i \alpha_i S_i(\mathbf{x}), \text{ where } S_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} w(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) b_i(\mathbf{y}).$$

This implies that if we know the response $S_i(.)$ to each basis function $b_i(.)$, then we can predict the response to any input. This is an attractive property that if it holds, enables us to measure the receptive field of a linear neuron, or a thresholded linear neuron, from a limited set of stimuli.
Fourier analysis deals with a special class of basis functions. These are sinusoids, i.e., of form $\sin \omega x, \cos \omega x$. The $\alpha$'s are the Fourier transform of the image. If we restrict ourselves to an image defined on a lattice (i.e., so that $x_1, x_2$ each take a finite number of values, as on a digital camera), then this is the discrete Fourier transform. But if we allow $x_1, x_2$ to take continuous values, then we get the Fourier transform:

$$I(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \hat{I}(\vec{\omega}) \exp\{-i\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{x}\} d\vec{\omega}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$$\hat{I}(\vec{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int I(\vec{x}) \exp\{i\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{x}\} d\vec{x}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Here $\exp\{i\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{x}\} = \cos(\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{x}) + i \sin(\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{x})$. Note that if $I(.)$ is symmetric, $I(\vec{x}) = I(-\vec{x})$, then $\hat{I}(\vec{\omega})$ is also symmetric, $\hat{I}(-\vec{\omega}) = \hat{I}(\vec{\omega})$. Observe that equations (4, 5) correspond to equations (1, 2) for special choices of the basis functions (and changing from discrete to continuous $\vec{x}$).
Fourier analysis is particularly important because it gives us a way to represent nonlocal structure of images in terms of frequencies $\omega$. The high frequencies (large $|\vec{\omega}|$) represent image patterns that change rapidly, while the lower frequencies (small $|\vec{\omega}|$) represent slowly changing patterns. In particular, if an image pattern is periodic, like the stripes on a zebra, then it can be expressed in form:

$$I(\vec{x}) = \sum_n A_n \cos(2\pi n\vec{\omega}_0 \cdot \vec{x}),$$

where $\vec{\omega}_0$ is the basic frequency and $n$ denotes integers. Then the Fourier transform is only nonzero at integer multiples of the basic frequency $\vec{\omega} = \vec{\omega}_0$. Hence periodic image patterns, such as textures, have very simple descriptions in Fourier space.
If we blur the image, by convolving with a Gaussian $G(\vec{x}; \sigma)$, to obtain $G \ast I(\vec{x})$, then the high frequencies of the image $\vec{I}$ will be smoothed out. By the convolution theorem, the Fourier transform of $G \ast I(\vec{x})$ is the product of the Fourier transforms of $G$ and $\vec{I}$. The F.T. of a Gaussian is also a Gaussian $\exp\{-|\vec{\omega}|^2(\sigma^2/2)\}$. Hence we can express the convolved image as a weighted combination of sinusoids, where the high-frequency weights are decreased by $\exp\{-|\vec{\omega}|^2(\sigma^2/2)\}$:

$$\vec{I}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \hat{I}(\vec{\omega}) \exp\{-i\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{x}\} \exp\{-|\vec{\omega}|^2(\sigma^2/2)\} d\vec{\omega}. $$

If we increase the blurring, by increasing the variance $\sigma^2$, we will make the high-frequency coefficients small. Blurring the image can be obtained by defocusing your eyes so that the image is seen out of focus. The receptive fields of cells occurs at a range of different scales, corresponding to convolving with Gaussians of different variances.
The superposition principle, combined with the use of basis functions, shows that we can determine the receptive fields of linear neurons by stimulating them with sinusoids. Sinusoids can be used as basis functions, and superposition can be used to predict the response to stimuli that have not been seen yet (i.e., as superpositions of those stimuli to which the response is known). This, however, is rarely done.