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Slides adapted from Geoff Voelker’s and David Mazières’ lectures
• Lab 0 grading
  - in progress

• Lab 1
  - review session by Chang today 5pm in Malone G33/35
  - start working on it
  - the deadline is firm

• Grace Hopper Conference attendees
  - email me the registration confirmation
Processes

• Recall that a process includes many things
  - An address space (defining all the code and data pages)
  - OS resources (e.g., open files) and accounting information
  - Execution state (PC, SP, regs, etc.)

• Creating a new process is costly
  - because of all of the data structures that must be allocated and initialized
    • recall struct proc in Solaris

• Communicating between processes is also costly
  - because most communication goes through the OS
    • overhead of system calls and copying data
• Recall our Web server example (or any parallel program)...
  - forks off copies of itself to handle multiple simultaneous requests

• To execute these programs we need to
  - Create several processes that execute in parallel
  - Cause each to map to the same address space to share data
    • They are all part of the same computation
  - Have the OS schedule these processes in parallel (logically or physically)

• This situation is very inefficient
  - Space: PCB, page tables, etc.
  - Time: create data structures, fork and copy addr space, etc.
Rethinking Processes

• What is similar in these cooperating processes?
  - They all share the same code and data (address space)
  - They all share the same privileges
  - They all share the same resources (files, sockets, etc.)

• What don’t they share?
  - Each has its own execution state: PC, SP, and registers

• Key idea: Why not separate the process concept from its execution state?
  - Process: address space, privileges, resources, etc.
  - Execution state: PC, SP, registers

• Exec state also called thread of control, or thread
• Modern OSes separate the concepts of processes and threads
  - The thread defines a sequential execution stream within a process (PC, SP, registers)
  - The process defines the address space and general process attributes (everything but threads of execution)

• A thread is bound to a single process
  - Processes, however, can have multiple threads

• Threads become the unit of scheduling
  - Processes are now the containers in which threads execute
  - Processes become static, threads are the dynamic entities
Small and Fast...

- **Pintos thread class**

```
struct thread {
    tid_t tid;            /* Thread identifier. */
    enum thread_status status;  /* Thread state. */
    char name[16];        /* Name (for debugging purposes). */
    uint8_t *stack;       /* Saved stack pointer. */
    int priority;         /* Priority. */
    struct list_elem allelem; /* List element for all threads list. */
    struct list_elem elem;   /* List element. */
    unsigned magic;       /* Detects stack overflow. */
};
```
Threads in a Process

What about heap?
Threads in a Process

Diagram showing the layout of threads in a process with stacks, heap, static data, and code sections for each thread. The diagram includes labels for each section and arrows indicating the threads (T1, T2, T3) and their corresponding program counters (PC):

- Stack (T1)
- Stack (T2)
- Stack (T3)
- Heap
- Static Data
- Code
- Thread 1
- Thread 2
- Thread 3
- PC (T1)
- PC (T2)
- PC (T3)
Thread Design Space

- **One Thread/Process, One Address Space** (Early Unix)
- **One Thread/Process, Many Address Spaces** (Early Unix)
- **Many Threads/Process, One Address Space** (Pilot, Java)
- **Many Threads/Process, Many Address Spaces** (Mach, Unix, Windows, OS X)
Process/Thread Separation

• Easier to support multithreaded applications
  - Concurrency does not require creating new processes

• Concurrency (multithreading) can be very useful
  - Improving program structure
  - Allowing one process to use multiple CPUs/cores
  - Handling concurrent events (e.g., Web requests)
  - Allowing program to overlap I/O and computation

• So multithreading is even useful on a uniprocessor
  - Although today even cell phones are multicore

• But, brings a whole new meaning to Spaghetti Code
  - Forcing OS students to learn about synchronization…
• `fork()` to create new processes to handle requests is overkill

• Recall our forking Web server:

```c
while (1) {
    int sock = accept();
    if ((child_pid = fork()) == 0) {
        // Handle client request
        // Close socket and exit
    } else {
        // Close socket
    }
}
```
• Instead, we can create a new thread for each request

```c
web_server() {
    while (1) {
        int sock = accept();
        thread_fork(handle_request, sock);
    }
}

handle_request(int sock) {
    Process request
    close(sock);
}
```
Thread Package API

- **tid thread_create (void (*fn) (void *), void *);**
  - Create a new thread, run fn with arg

- **void thread_exit ();**
  - Destroy current thread

- **void thread_join (tid thread);**
  - Wait for thread thread to exit

- **See Birrell* for good introduction**

*: [https://www.cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/readings/birrell.pdf](https://www.cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/readings/birrell.pdf)
Implementing Threads

- **thread_create**(fun, args)
  - Allocate thread control block
  - Allocate stack
  - Build stack frame for base of stack
  - Put func, args on stack
  - Put thread on ready list
Kernel-Level Threads

- All thread operations are implemented in the kernel
- The OS schedules all of the threads in the system
- Also known as **lightweight processes**
  - Windows: threads
  - Solaris: lightweight processes (LWP)
  - POSIX Threads (pthreads): PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM
Kernel Thread Limitations

- **Every thread operation must go through kernel**
  - create, exit, join, synchronize, or switch for any reason
  - On my laptop: syscall takes 100 cycles, fn call 5 cycles
  - Result: threads 10x-30x slower when implemented in kernel

- **One-size fits all thread implementation**
  - Kernel threads must please all people
  - Maybe pay for fancy features (priority, etc.) you don’t need

- **General heavy-weight memory requirements**
  - e.g., requires a fixed-size stack within kernel
  - other data structures designed for heavier-weight processes
Alternative: User-Level Threads

- Implement as user-level library (a.k.a. green threads)
  - One kernel thread per process
  - thread_create, thread_exit, etc., just library functions
  - library does thread context switch

- User-level threads are small and fast
  - pthreads: PTHREAD_SCOPE_PROCESS
  - Java: Thread
User-Level Thread Limitations

- Can’t take advantage of multiple CPUs or cores

- **User-level threads are invisible to the OS**
  - They are not well integrated with the OS

- **As a result, the OS can make poor decisions**
  - Scheduling a process with idle threads
  - A blocking system call blocks all threads
    - Can replace read to handle network connections, but usually OSes don’t let you do this for disk
  - Unscheduling a process with a thread holding a lock

- **How to solve this?**
  - communication between the kernel and the user-level thread manager (Windows 8)
    - [Scheduler Activation]*

Kernel vs. User Threads

• **Kernel-level threads**
  - Integrated with OS (informed scheduling)
  - Slower to create, manipulate, synchronize

• **User-level threads**
  - Faster to create, manipulate, synchronize
  - Not integrated with OS (uninformed scheduling)

• **Understanding their differences is important**
  - Correctness, performance
Kernel and User Threads

• Or use **both** kernel and user-level threads
  - Can associate a user-level thread with a kernel-level thread
  - Or, multiplex user-level threads on top of kernel-level threads

• Java Virtual Machine (JVM) (also C#, others)
  - Java threads are user-level threads
  - On older Unix, only one “kernel thread” per process
    • Multiplex all Java threads on this one kernel thread
  - On modern OSes
    • Can multiplex Java threads on multiple kernel threads
    • Can have more Java threads than kernel threads
    • Why?
• **User threads implemented on kernel threads**
  - Multiple kernel-level threads per process
  - `thread_create`, `thread_exit` still library functions as before

• **Sometimes called n : m threading**
  - Have n user threads per m kernel threads (Simple user-level threads are n : 1, kernel threads 1 : 1)
Implementing User-Level Threads

- Allocate a new stack for each `thread_create`
- Keep a queue of runnable threads
- Replace networking system calls (`read/write/etc.`)
  - If operation would block, switch and run different thread
  - Schedule periodic timer signal (`setitimer`)
  - Switch to another thread on timer signals (preemption)
- Multi-threaded web server example
  - Thread calls read to get data from remote web browser
  - “Fake” `read` function makes `read` syscall in non-blocking mode
  - No data? schedule another thread
  - On timer or when idle check which connections have new data
Thread Scheduling

• The thread scheduler determines when a thread runs

• It uses queues to keep track of what threads are doing
  - Just like the OS and processes
  - But it is implemented at user-level in a library

• Run queue: Threads currently running (usually one)

• Ready queue: Threads ready to run

• Are there wait queues?
  - How might you implement sleep(time)?
Non-Preemptive Scheduling

- Threads voluntarily give up the CPU with `yield`

```c
while (1) {
    printf("ping\n");
    yield();
}

while (1) {
    printf("pong\n");
    yield();
}
```

- What is the output of running these two threads?
• Wait a second. How does `yield()` work?

• The semantics of `yield` are that it gives up the CPU to another thread
  - In other words, it **context switches** to another thread

• So what does it mean for `yield` to return?
  - It means that _another thread_ called `yield`!

• Execution trace of ping/pong
  - `printf("ping\n");`
  - `yield();`
  - `printf("pong\n");`
  - `yield();`
  - ...
Implementing `yield()`

```c
yield() {
    thread_t old_thread = current_thread;
    current_thread = get_next_thread();
    append_to_queue(ready_queue, old_thread);
    context_switch(old_thread, current_thread);
    return;
}
```

- The magic step is invoking `context_switch()`
- Why do we need to call `append_to_queue()`?
Preemptive Scheduling

• Non-preemptive threads have to voluntarily give up CPU
  - A long-running thread will take over the machine
  - Only voluntary calls to yield, sleep, or finish cause a context switch

• Preemptive scheduling causes an involuntary context switch
  - Need to regain control of processor asynchronously
  - Use timer interrupt
  - Timer interrupt handler forces current thread to “call” yield
Thread Context Switch

• The context switch routine does all of the magic
  - Saves context of the currently running thread (old_thread)
    • Push all machine state onto its stack
  - Restores context of the next thread
    • Pop all machine state from the next thread’s stack
  - The next thread becomes the current thread
  - Return to caller as new thread

• This is all done in assembly language
  - It works at the level of the procedure calling convention, so it cannot be implemented using procedure calls
• Registers divided into 2 groups
  - caller-saved regs: callee function free to modify
    • on x86, %eax [return val], %edx, & %ecx
  - callee-saved regs: callee function must restore to original value upon return
    • on x86, %ebx, %esi, %edi, plus %ebp and %esp

• save active caller registers
• call foo (pushes pc)

• save used callee registers
• ...do stuff...
• restore callee saved registers
• jump back to calling function

Call arguments
- return addr
- old frame ptr
- callee-saved registers
Local vars and temps

fp
sp
• Per-thread state in thread control block structure

```c
struct thread {
  ...
  uint8_t *stack; /* Saved stack pointer. */
  ...
};
uint32_t thread_stack_ofs = offsetof(struct thread, stack);
```

• C declaration for asm thread-switch function:

  ```c
  struct thread *switch_threads (struct thread *cur, struct thread *next);
  ```

• Also thread initialization function to create new stack:

  ```c
  void thread_create (const char *name, thread_func *function, void *aux);
  ```
This is actual code from Pintos switch.S (slightly reformatted)
- See [Thread Switching]* in documentation

*: [https://cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/project/pintos_7.html#SEC109](https://cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/project/pintos_7.html#SEC109)
i386 switch_threads

This is actual code from Pintos switch.S (slightly reformatted)
- See [Thread Switching]* in documentation

*: https://cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/project/pintos_7.html#SEC109
This is actual code from Pintos `switch.S` (slightly reformatted)
- See [Thread Switching]* in documentation

*: [https://cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/project/pintos_7.html#SEC109](https://cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/project/pintos_7.html#SEC109)
i386 switch_threads

- This is actual code from Pintos `switch.S` (slightly reformatted)
  - See [Thread Switching]* in documentation

  [*: https://cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/project/pintos_7.html#SEC109]
This is actual code from Pintos `switch.S` (slightly reformatted)
- See [Thread Switching]* in documentation

*: https://cs.jhu.edu/~huang/cs318/fall18/project/pintos_7.html#SEC109
• **The operating system as a large multithreaded program**
  - Each process executes as a thread within the OS

• **Multithreading is also very useful for applications**
  - Efficient multithreading requires fast primitives
  - Processes are too heavyweight

• **Solution is to separate threads from processes**
  - Kernel-level threads much better, but still significant overhead
  - User-level threads even better, but not well integrated with OS

• **Now, how do we get our threads to correctly cooperate with each other?**
  - Synchronization…
Next Time…

• Read Chapters 28, 29