Herebess of Approximation: Want to prove some maximization problem TT, hard to approx. Gap reduction from some problem TTz we know is NP-hard (e.g., SAT)



⇒ Polytime alg for SAT! "Hard to distinguish OPT = ~ from OPT ≤ P" Now suppose must be prove TT, hard to approximate. Stort with TT, !



Down't natter what 3 does to middle instances!

-Design a red-ction 
$$f: \Pi_1 \rightarrow \Pi_3$$
 s.t.  
- completeness;  $If x \in YES$ ,  $OPT(f(x)) \geq \alpha$   
- Soundness;  $If x \in NO$ ,  $OPT(f(x)) \leq \beta$   
 $\Rightarrow \beta_{x}$  - hardness of approximation

(an get pretty for with this: Book 16.1, 16.2 Break through: PCP Theorem. Digression into complexity theory.

Det: LENP if I polynomial p and algorithm A s.d.  
1) If xel, I "proof" y s.d. lyl & p(lxl) and  
A(x,y) redurns YES in time at most p(lxl)  
2) If x & L, then 
$$\forall y$$
,  $A(x,y)$  returns NO



Exilt LENP, then L has a probabilistic proof system with r(n)=0, q(n)=poly(n), c(n)=1, s(n)=0

So NP= PCP1,0 (0, poly(4))

The LPCP Theorem]:  $NP = PCP_{i, 1/2} (OCL-S i), OCL)$ LAS'98, ALMSS '98]

Hard direction! 
$$NP \subseteq P(P_{ijik}(O(l-g,n), O(l)))$$

Lemma : (an't approximate 
$$\Pi'$$
 better than  $\frac{1}{2}$ !  
PF: Sps had  $\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow if \times YES = f \Pi : OPT(x) = 1 \Rightarrow ALG(x) \ge 3 > \frac{1}{2}$   
 $if \times No = f \Pi : OPT(x) \le \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow ALG(x) \le \frac{1}{2}$ 

Prof y   
(hoice of vandom bits:  
1: 
$$f(y_{1}, y_{5}, y_{8}) = 1$$
 (0)  
2:  $f(y_{3}, y_{7}, y_{2}) = 1$  (0)  
3:  $f(y_{3}, y_{5}, y_{7}) = 1$  (0)

(an do even better through other versions of PCP Thm

$$\frac{Def}{Def}: odd(x_1, x_2, x_3) \approx \begin{cases} 1 & if & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & odd \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$ewen(x_1, x_2, x_3) \approx \begin{cases} 1 & if & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & even \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Thm: V (mitant E>0, it is NP-hard to appreximate  
Max-35AT better than 
$$\frac{7}{8}$$
 + E  
Pf: Start with arbitrary NP-complete problem (e.g., SAT)  
Let q instance of SAT  
By Hastad, I vaifier with  $c(n)=l-E$ ,  $s(n)=\frac{1}{2}+E$ ,

$$\begin{array}{c} 0(lign) \quad \text{verden } hits, \\ \textbf{3} \quad \textbf{q} - \textbf{e}; s, \\ evan ledd \quad \textbf{tests } only \\ \text{Let } N = 2^{0(l-s,n)} = poly(n) \quad be \quad \textbf{tt distinct readen } strings, need \\ \textbf{3} \quad for each of N readon strings, 3 hits and evaluated tost \\ \hline \textbf{7} \quad each of N readon strings, 3 hits and evaluated tost \\ \hline \textbf{7} \quad each odd(x_i, x_j, x_k) \quad \textbf{test}: \\ \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad satisfiel \\ \hline \textbf{x}_i \lor \textbf{x}_j \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_i, x_j, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad x_k \lor \textbf{x}_k \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf{3} \quad edd(x_k, x_k, x_k) = 1, \ nll \quad \textbf{4} \quad x_k \lor \textbf{x}_k \lor \textbf{x}_k \quad \textbf$$

If qESAT (YES instance) > ] proof E.d. vorifier accepts with prof. 21-E

$$\Rightarrow (an satisfy \geq (1-\epsilon)N \text{ of the even loded constraints})$$

$$\Rightarrow (an satisfy \geq 4(1-\epsilon)N + 3\epsilon N \approx (4-\epsilon)N \text{ clauses}$$

→ (an sufisfy ≤ 4(2+2)N + 3(2-2)N - (2+2)N clauses

$$\rightarrow$$
 hordness of  $\frac{(\frac{7}{2} + \epsilon)N}{(4 - \epsilon)N} = \frac{7}{8} + \epsilon'$