Lecture 16: All-Pairs Shortest Paths

Michael Dinitz

October 24, 2024 601.433/633 Introduction to Algorithms

Announcements

- Mid-Semester feedback on Courselore!
- No lecture notes

Setup:

- ▶ Directed graph G = (V, E)
- ▶ Length $\ell(x, y)$ on each edge $(x, y) \in E$
- ▶ Length of path P is $\ell(P) = \sum_{(x,y) \in P} \ell(x,y)$
- $d(x,y) = \min_{x \to y \text{ paths } P} \ell(P)$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$.

Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!

Setup:

- ▶ Directed graph G = (V, E)
- ▶ Length $\ell(x,y)$ on each edge $(x,y) \in E$
- ▶ Length of path **P** is $\ell(P) = \sum_{(x,y) \in P} \ell(x,y)$
- $d(x,y) = \min_{x \to y \text{ paths } P} \ell(P)$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$.

Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!

Obvious solution:

Setup:

- Directed graph G = (V, E)
- ▶ Length $\ell(x,y)$ on each edge $(x,y) \in E$
- ▶ Length of path P is $\ell(P) = \sum_{(x,y) \in P} \ell(x,y)$
- $d(x,y) = \min_{x \to y \text{ paths } P} \ell(P)$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$.

Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!

Obvious solution: single-source from each $v \in V$

Setup:

- Directed graph G = (V, E)
- ▶ Length $\ell(x,y)$ on each edge $(x,y) \in E$
- ▶ Length of path **P** is $\ell(P) = \sum_{(x,y) \in P} \ell(x,y)$
- $d(x, y) = \min_{x \to y \text{ paths } P} \ell(P)$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$.

Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!

Obvious solution: single-source from each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$

- No negative weights: n runs of Dijkstra, time $O(n(m + n \log n))$
- Negative weights: n runs of Bellman-Ford, time $O(nmn) = O(mn^2)$

Lecture 16: APSP 3/14 October 24, 2024

Setup:

- ▶ Directed graph G = (V, E)
- ▶ Length $\ell(x,y)$ on each edge $(x,y) \in E$
- ▶ Length of path **P** is $\ell(P) = \sum_{(x,y) \in P} \ell(x,y)$
- $d(x,y) = \min_{x \to y \text{ paths } P} \ell(P)$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$.

Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!

Obvious solution: single-source from each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$

- ▶ No negative weights: n runs of Dijkstra, time $O(n(m + n \log n))$
- ▶ Negative weights: n runs of Bellman-Ford, time $O(nmn) = O(mn^2)$

Can we do better? Particularly for negative edge weights?

Main goal today: Negative weights as fast as possible.

Michael Dinitz Lecture 16: APSP October 24, 2024

3/14

Floyd-Warshall: A Different Dynamic Programming Approach

To simplify notation, let $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\ell(i, j) = \infty$ if $(i, j) \notin E$

Bellman-Ford subproblems: length of shortest path with at most some number of edges

Floyd-Warshall: A Different Dynamic Programming Approach

To simplify notation, let $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $\ell(i, j) = \infty$ if $(i, j) \notin E$

Bellman-Ford subproblems: length of shortest path with at most some number of edges

New subproblems:

- Intuition: "shortest path from u to v either goes through node n, or it doesn't"
 - If it doesn't: shortest uses only first nodes in $\{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$.
 - If it does: consists of a path P_1 from u to n and a path P_2 from n to v, neither of which uses **n** (internally).

Floyd-Warshall: A Different Dynamic Programming Approach

To simplify notation, let $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $\ell(i, j) = \infty$ if $(i, j) \notin E$

Bellman-Ford subproblems: length of shortest path with at most some number of edges

New subproblems:

- Intuition: "shortest path from u to v either goes through node n, or it doesn't"
 - If it doesn't: shortest uses only first nodes in $\{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$.
 - If it does: consists of a path P_1 from u to n and a path P_2 from n to v, neither of which uses **n** (internally).
- ▶ Subproblems: shortest path from u to v that only uses nodes in $\{1, 2, ... k\}$ for all u, v, k

 $u \rightarrow v$ path **P**: "intermediate nodes" are all nodes in **P** other than u, v.

 d_{ij}^k : distance from i to j using only $i \rightarrow j$ paths with intermediate vertices in $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

- ▶ Goal: compute d_{ii}^k for all $i, j, k \in [n]$.
- ▶ Return d_{ii}^n for all $i, j \in V$.

 $u \rightarrow v$ path **P**: "intermediate nodes" are all nodes in **P** other than u, v.

 d_{ii}^k : distance from i to j using only $i \rightarrow j$ paths with intermediate vertices in $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

- ▶ Goal: compute d_{ij}^k for all $i, j, k \in [n]$.
- ▶ Return d_{ii}^n for all $i, j \in V$.

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

 $u \rightarrow v$ path **P**: "intermediate nodes" are all nodes in **P** other than u, v.

 d_{ij}^k : distance from i to j using only $i \rightarrow j$ paths with intermediate vertices in $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

- ▶ Goal: compute d_{ii}^k for all $i, j, k \in [n]$.
- ▶ Return d_{ii}^n for all $i, j \in V$.

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0 \\ & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

 $u \rightarrow v$ path **P**: "intermediate nodes" are all nodes in **P** other than u, v.

 d_{ii}^k : distance from i to j using only $i \rightarrow j$ paths with intermediate vertices in $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

- ▶ Goal: compute d_{ii}^k for all $i, j, k \in [n]$.
- ▶ Return d_{ii}^n for all $i, j \in V$.

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

If
$$k = 0$$
: \checkmark

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

If k = 0: \checkmark

If $k \ge 1$: prove \le and \ge

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

If k = 0: \checkmark

If $k \ge 1$: prove \le and \ge

≤:

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

If k = 0: \checkmark

If $k \ge 1$: prove \le and \ge

≤: Two feasible solutions

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

If k = 0: \checkmark

If $k \ge 1$: prove \le and \ge

≤: Two feasible solutions

 \geq : Let **P** be shortest $i \rightarrow j$ path with all intermediate nodes in [k]

▶ If **k** not an intermediate node of **P**:

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

If k = 0: \checkmark

If $k \ge 1$: prove \le and \ge

≤: Two feasible solutions

 \geq : Let **P** be shortest $i \rightarrow j$ path with all intermediate nodes in [k]

If k not an intermediate node of P: P has all intermediate nodes in $[k-1] \implies \min(d_{ii}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{ki}^{k-1}) \le d_{ii}^{k-1} \le \ell(P) = d_{ii}^{k}$

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

If k = 0: \checkmark

If $k \ge 1$: prove \le and \ge

≤: Two feasible solutions

 \geq : Let **P** be shortest $i \rightarrow j$ path with all intermediate nodes in [k]

- If k not an intermediate node of P: P has all intermediate nodes in $[k-1] \implies \min(d_{ii}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{ki}^{k-1}) \le d_{ii}^{k-1} \le \ell(P) = d_{ii}^k$
- ▶ If **k** is an intermediate node of **P**:

Theorem

For all $i, j, k \in [n]$:

$$d_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} \ell(i,j) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{if } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

If k = 0: \checkmark

If $k \ge 1$: prove \le and \ge

≤: Two feasible solutions

 \geq : Let **P** be shortest $i \rightarrow j$ path with all intermediate nodes in [k]

- If k not an intermediate node of P: P has all intermediate nodes in $[k-1] \implies \min(d_{ii}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{ki}^{k-1}) \le d_{ii}^{k-1} \le \ell(P) = d_{ii}^{k}$
- If k is an intermediate node of P: divide P into P_1 (subpath from i to k) and P_2 (subpath from k to i)

$$\min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) \le d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1} \le \ell(P_1) + \ell(P_2) = \ell(P) = d_{ij}^k$$

Usually bottom-up, since so simple:

```
M[i,j,0] = \ell(i,j) for all i,j \in [n] for (k=1 \text{ to } n) for (i=1 \text{ to } n) for (j=1 \text{ to } n) M[i,j,k] = \min(M[i,j,k-1],M[i,k,k-1]+M[k,j,k-1])
```

Usually bottom-up, since so simple:

```
M[i,j,0] = \ell(i,j) for all i,j \in [n]
for (k=1 \text{ to } n)
for (i=1 \text{ to } n)
for (j=1 \text{ to } n)
M[i,j,k] = \min(M[i,j,k-1], M[i,k,k-1] + M[k,j,k-1])
```

Correctness: obvious for k = 0. For $k \ge 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} M[i,j,k] &= \min(M[i,j,k-1], M[i,k,k-1] + M[k,j,k-1]) & \text{(def of algorithm)} \\ &= \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{(induction)} \\ &= d_{ij}^{k} & \text{(optimal substructure)} \end{aligned}$$

Usually bottom-up, since so simple:

```
M[i,j,0] = \ell(i,j) for all i,j \in [n]
for (k=1 \text{ to } n)
for (i=1 \text{ to } n)
for (j=1 \text{ to } n)
M[i,j,k] = \min(M[i,j,k-1], M[i,k,k-1] + M[k,j,k-1])
```

Correctness: obvious for k = 0. For $k \ge 1$:

$$\begin{split} M[i,j,k] &= \min(M[i,j,k-1], M[i,k,k-1] + M[k,j,k-1]) & \text{(def of algorithm)} \\ &= \min(d_{ij}^{k-1}, d_{ik}^{k-1} + d_{kj}^{k-1}) & \text{(induction)} \\ &= d_{ij}^{k} & \text{(optimal substructure)} \end{split}$$

Running Time: $O(n^3)$

Fun Fact



Search... Help | Adva

Computer Science > Data Structures and Algorithms

[Submitted on 2 Apr 2019]

Incorrect implementations of the Floyd--Warshall algorithm give correct solutions after three repeats

Ikumi Hide, Soh Kumabe, Takanori Maehara

The Floyd--Warshall algorithm is a well-known algorithm for the all-pairs shortest path problem that is simply implemented by triply nested loops. In this study, we show that the incorrect implementations of the Floyd--Warshall algorithm that misorder the triply nested loops give correct solutions if these are repeated three times.

Subjects: Data Structures and Algorithms (cs.DS)

Cite as: arXiv:1904.01210 [cs.DS]

(or arXiv:1904.01210v1 [cs.DS] for this version)

https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1904.01210

Submission history

From: Takanori Maehara [view email] [v1] Tue, 2 Apr 2019 04:39:28 UTC (4 KB)

Johnson's Algorithm

10 / 14

Different Approach: Can we "fix" negative weights so Dijkstra from every node works?

► Time would be $O(n(m + n \log n)) = O(mn + n^2 \log n)$, better than Floyd-Warshall

Different Approach: Can we "fix" negative weights so Dijkstra from every node works?

▶ Time would be $O(n(m + n \log n)) = O(mn + n^2 \log n)$, better than Floyd-Warshall

First attempt: Let $-\alpha$ be smallest length (most negative). Add α to every edge.

Does this work?

Different Approach: Can we "fix" negative weights so Dijkstra from every node works?

▶ Time would be $O(n(m + n \log n)) = O(mn + n^2 \log n)$, better than Floyd-Warshall

First attempt: Let $-\alpha$ be smallest length (most negative). Add α to every edge.

Does this work? No!

Different Approach: Can we "fix" negative weights so Dijkstra from every node works?

▶ Time would be $O(n(m + n \log n)) = O(mn + n^2 \log n)$, better than Floyd-Warshall

First attempt: Let $-\alpha$ be smallest length (most negative). Add α to every edge.

- Does this work? No!
- New length of path P is $\ell(P) + \alpha |P|$, so original shortest path might no longer be shortest path if it has many edges.



Different Approach: Can we "fix" negative weights so Dijkstra from every node works?

► Time would be $O(n(m + n \log n)) = O(mn + n^2 \log n)$, better than Floyd-Warshall

First attempt: Let $-\alpha$ be smallest length (most negative). Add α to every edge.

- Does this work? No!
- New length of path P is $\ell(P) + \alpha |P|$, so original shortest path might no longer be shortest path if it has many edges.

Some other kind of reweighting? Need new lengths $\hat{\ell}$ such that:

Different Approach: Can we "fix" negative weights so Dijkstra from every node works?

▶ Time would be $O(n(m + n \log n)) = O(mn + n^2 \log n)$, better than Floyd-Warshall

First attempt: Let $-\alpha$ be smallest length (most negative). Add α to every edge.

- Does this work? No!
- New length of path P is $\ell(P) + \alpha |P|$, so original shortest path might no longer be shortest path if it has many edges.



Some other kind of reweighting? Need new lengths $\hat{\ell}$ such that:

- lacktriangle Path $m{P}$ a shortest path under lengths ℓ if and only $m{P}$ a shortest path under lengths $\hat{\ell}$
- $\hat{\ell}(u,v) \ge 0$ for all $(u,v) \in E$

Vertex Reweighting

Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- ▶ Let $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.

Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- ▶ Let $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.

Let $P = \langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$ be arbitrary (not necessarily shortest) path.

Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- ▶ Let $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.

Let $P = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ be arbitrary (not necessarily shortest) path.

$$\ell_h(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell_h(v_i, v_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\ell(v_i, v_{i+1}) + h(v_i) - h(v_{i+1}))$$

Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- ▶ Let $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.

Let $P = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ be arbitrary (not necessarily shortest) path.

$$\ell_h(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell_h(v_i, v_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\ell(v_i, v_{i+1}) + h(v_i) - h(v_{i+1}))$$

$$= h(v_0) - h(v_k) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell(v_i, v_{i+1})$$
 (telescoping)

Michael Dinitz Lecture 16: APSP October 24, 2024 12 / 14

Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- ▶ Let $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.
- Let $\ell_h(u,v) = \ell(u,v) + h(u) h(v)$

Let $P = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ be arbitrary (not necessarily shortest) path.

$$\ell_h(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell_h(v_i, v_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\ell(v_i, v_{i+1}) + h(v_i) - h(v_{i+1}))$$

$$= h(v_0) - h(v_k) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell(v_i, v_{i+1})$$

$$= \ell(P) + h(v_0) - h(v_k)$$
(telescoping)

Michael Dinitz Lecture 16: APSP October 24, 2024 12/14

Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- ▶ Let $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.
- Let $\ell_h(u,v) = \ell(u,v) + h(u) h(v)$

Let $P = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ be arbitrary (not necessarily shortest) path.

$$\ell_h(P) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell_h(v_i, v_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\ell(v_i, v_{i+1}) + h(v_i) - h(v_{i+1}))$$

$$= h(v_0) - h(v_k) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell(v_i, v_{i+1})$$

$$= \ell(P) + h(v_0) - h(v_k)$$
 (telescoping)

 $h(v_0) - h(v_k)$ added to every $v_0 \rightarrow v_k$ path, so shortest path from v_0 to v_k still shortest path!

Michael Dinitz Lecture 16: APSP October 24, 2024 12 / 14

So vertex reweighting preserves shortest paths. Find weights to make lengths nonnegative?

Add new node s to graph, edges (s, v) for all $v \in V$ of length 0

So vertex reweighting preserves shortest paths. Find weights to make lengths nonnegative?

Add new node s to graph, edges (s, v) for all $v \in V$ of length 0

- ▶ Run Bellman-Ford from s, then for all $u \in V$ set h(u) to be d(s, u)
- ▶ Note $h(u) \le 0$ for all $u \in V$

So vertex reweighting preserves shortest paths. Find weights to make lengths nonnegative?

Add new node s to graph, edges (s, v) for all $v \in V$ of length 0

- ▶ Run Bellman-Ford from s, then for all $u \in V$ set h(u) to be d(s,u)
- ▶ Note $h(u) \le 0$ for all $u \in V$

Want to show that $\ell_h(u, v) \ge 0$ for all edges (u, v).

► Triangle inequality: $h(v) = d(s, v) \le d(s, u) + \ell(u, v) = h(u) + \ell(u, v)$

Michael Dinitz Lecture 16: APSP October 24, 2024 13 / 14

So vertex reweighting preserves shortest paths. Find weights to make lengths nonnegative?

Add new node s to graph, edges (s, v) for all $v \in V$ of length 0

- ▶ Run Bellman-Ford from s, then for all $u \in V$ set h(u) to be d(s, u)
- ▶ Note $h(u) \le 0$ for all $u \in V$

Want to show that $\ell_h(u, v) \ge 0$ for all edges (u, v).

► Triangle inequality: $h(v) = d(s, v) \le d(s, u) + \ell(u, v) = h(u) + \ell(u, v)$

$$\ell_h(u,v) = \ell(u,v) + h(u) - h(v) \ge \ell(u,v) + h(u) - (h(u) + \ell(u,v)) = 0$$

Michael Dinitz Lecture 16: APSP October 24, 2024 13/14

Johnson's Algorithm

- Add vertex **s** to graph, edge (s, u) for all $u \in V$ with $\ell(s, u) = 0$
- ▶ Run Bellman-Ford from s, set h(u) = d(s, u)
- ▶ Remove s, run Dijkstra from every node $u \in V$ to get $d_h(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in V$
- ▶ If want distances, set $d(u, v) = d_h(u, v) h(u) + h(v)$ for all $u, v \in V$

Correctness: From previous discussion.

Johnson's Algorithm

- Add vertex s to graph, edge (s, u) for all $u \in V$ with $\ell(s, u) = 0$
- Run Bellman-Ford from s, set h(u) = d(s, u)
- ▶ Remove s, run Dijkstra from every node $u \in V$ to get $d_h(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in V$
- ▶ If want distances, set $d(u, v) = d_h(u, v) h(u) + h(v)$ for all $u, v \in V$

Correctness: From previous discussion.

Running Time:

Michael Dinitz Lecture 16: APSP October 24, 2024 14 / 14

Johnson's Algorithm

- Add vertex **s** to graph, edge (s, u) for all $u \in V$ with $\ell(s, u) = 0$
- ▶ Run Bellman-Ford from s, set h(u) = d(s, u)
- ▶ Remove s, run Dijkstra from every node $u \in V$ to get $d_h(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in V$
- ▶ If want distances, set $d(u, v) = d_h(u, v) h(u) + h(v)$ for all $u, v \in V$

Correctness: From previous discussion.

Running Time: $O(n) + O(mn) + O(n(m+n\log n)) = O(mn+n^2\log n)$

Michael Dinitz Lecture 16: APSP October 24, 2024 14 / 14