Lecture 7: Amortized Analysis

Michael Dinitz

September 17, 2024 601.433/633 Introduction to Algorithms

Introduction

Typically been considering "static" or "one-shot" problems: given input, compute correct output as efficiently as possible.

Introduction

Typically been considering "static" or "one-shot" problems: given input, compute correct output as efficiently as possible.

Data structures: sequence of operations!

Dictionary: insert, insert, insert, lookup, insert, lookup, lookup, ...

2/21

Introduction

Typically been considering "static" or "one-shot" problems: given input, compute correct output as efficiently as possible.

Data structures: sequence of operations!

Dictionary: insert, insert, lookup, insert, lookup, lookup, ...

Last time: analyzed the (worst-case) cost of each operation.

What about (worst-case) cost of sequence of operations?



2 / 21

Definition & Example

Definition

The amortized cost of a sequence of n operations is the total cost of the sequence divided by n.

"Average cost per operation" (but no randomness!)

Definition & Example

Definition

The amortized cost of a sequence of n operations is the total cost of the sequence divided by n.

"Average cost per operation" (but no randomness!)

Example: 100 operations of cost 1, then 1 operation of cost 100

- ► Normal worst-case analysis: **100**
- ► Amortized cost: 200/101 ≈ 2

3/21

September 17, 2024

Definition & Example

Definition

The *amortized cost* of a sequence of n operations is the total cost of the sequence divided by n.

"Average cost per operation" (but no randomness!)

Example: 100 operations of cost 1, then 1 operation of cost 100

- ▶ Normal worst-case analysis: 100
- ► Amortized cost: 200/101 ≈ 2

If we care about total time (e.g., using data structure in larger algorithm) then worst-case too pessimistic

3/21

Amortized Algorithm

Still want worst-case, but worst-case over sequences rather than single operations.

Maybe only possible way to have an expensive operation is to have a bunch of cheap operations: amortized cost always small!

September 17, 2024

Michael Dinitz

Amortized Algorithm

Still want worst-case, but worst-case over sequences rather than single operations.

Maybe only possible way to have an expensive operation is to have a bunch of cheap operations: amortized cost always small!

Definition

If the amortized cost of every sequence of n operations is at most f(n), then the amortized cost or amortized complexity of the algorithm is at most f(n).

Example: Stack From Array

Stack Using Array

Stack:

- ► Last In First Out (LIFO)
- Push: add element to stack
- ▶ Pop: Remove the most recently added element.

6/21

Stack Using Array

Stack:

- ► Last In First Out (LIFO)
- Push: add element to stack
- ▶ Pop: Remove the most recently added element.

Building a stack with an array A:

Michael Dinitz

Stack Using Array

Stack:

- ▶ Last In First Out (LIFO)
- Push: add element to stack
- Pop: Remove the most recently added element.

Building a stack with an array A:

- ▶ Initialize: top = 0
- Push(x): A[top] = x; top++
- ▶ Pop: top--; Return A[top]

What if array is full (*n* elements)?

What if array is full (*n* elements)?

Make new, bigger array, copy old array over

- Cost: free to create new array, each copy costs 1
- Worst case: a single Push could cost $\Omega(n)$!

7/21

What if array is full (*n* elements)?

Make new, bigger array, copy old array over

- Cost: free to create new array, each copy costs 1
- ▶ Worst case: a single Push could cost $\Omega(n)$!

New array has size n + 1:

Michael Dinitz

What if array is full (*n* elements)?

Make new, bigger array, copy old array over

- Cost: free to create new array, each copy costs 1
- Worst case: a single Push could cost $\Omega(n)$!

New array has size n + 1:

- ▶ Sequence of **n** Push operations. Total cost: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \Theta(n^2)$.
- Amortized cost: $\Theta(n)$ (same as worst single operation!)



Michael Dinitz

Instead of increasing from n to n + 1:



8/21

Instead of increasing from n to n+1: increase to 2n

8/21

Instead of increasing from n to n+1: increase to 2n

Consider *any* sequence of *n* operations.

- ▶ Have to double when array has size $2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, \dots, \lfloor \log n \rfloor$
- ▶ Total time spent doubling: at most $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} 2^i \le 2n = \Theta(n)$
- ▶ Any operation that doesn't cause a doubling costs O(1)
- ► Total cost at most $O(n) + n \cdot O(1) = O(n)$
- Amortized cost at most O(1)



8 / 21

September 17, 2024

Michael Dinitz Lecture 7: Amortized Analysis

Instead of increasing from n to n+1: increase to 2n

Consider any sequence of **n** operations.

- ▶ Have to double when array has size $2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, \ldots, \lfloor \log n \rfloor$
- ► Total time spent doubling: at most $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} 2^i \le 2n = \Theta(n)$
- ▶ Any operation that doesn't cause a doubling costs O(1)
- ► Total cost at most $O(n) + n \cdot O(1) = O(n)$
- ▶ Amortized cost at most *O*(1)

Amortized analysis explains why it's better to double than add 1!



8 / 21

More Complicated Analysis: Piggy Banks and Potentials

9/21

Basic Bank: Informal

Can be hard to give good bound directly on total cost.

- Lots of variance: some operations very expensive, some very cheap.
- ▶ Idea: "smooth out" the operations.
- ▶ "Pay more" for cheap operations, "pay less" for expensive ops.

10 / 21

Basic Bank: Informal

Can be hard to give good bound directly on total cost.

- Lots of variance: some operations very expensive, some very cheap.
- ▶ Idea: "smooth out" the operations.
- "Pay more" for cheap operations, "pay less" for expensive ops.

Use a "bank" to keep track of this

- Cheap operation: add to the bank
- Expensive operation: take from the bank

Basic Bank: Informal

Can be hard to give good bound directly on total cost.

- Lots of variance: some operations very expensive, some very cheap.
- ▶ Idea: "smooth out" the operations.
- "Pay more" for cheap operations, "pay less" for expensive ops.

Use a "bank" to keep track of this

- Cheap operation: add to the bank
- Expensive operation: take from the bank

Charge cheap operations more, use extra to pay for expensive operations



Bank L.

- ▶ Initially L = 0
- L_i = value of bank ofter operation i (so $L_0 = 0$).

Michael Dinitz Lecture 7: Amortized Analysis

Bank L.

- ▶ Initially L = 0
- $ightharpoonup L_i$ = value of bank ofter operation i (so $L_0 = 0$).

Operation i:

- ► Cost *c_i*
- "Amortized cost" $c'_i = c_i + \Delta L = c_i + L_i L_{i-1}$

Bank L.

- ▶ Initially L = 0
- **L**_i = value of bank ofter operation i (so $L_0 = 0$).

Operation i:

- ► Cost *c*_i
- "Amortized cost" $c'_i = c_i + \Delta L = c_i + L_i L_{i-1} \implies c_i = c'_i + L_{i-1} L_i$

Michael Dinitz

Bank L.

- ▶ Initially L = 0
- L_i = value of bank ofter operation i (so $L_0 = 0$).

Operation i:

- ► Cost *c_i*
- "Amortized cost" $c'_i = c_i + \Delta L = c_i + L_i L_{i-1} \implies c_i = c'_i + L_{i-1} L_i$

Total cost of sequence:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(c_{i}' + L_{i-1} - L_{i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(L_{i-1} - L_{i} \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}' \right) + L_{0} - L_{n}$$



Michael Dinitz

Bank L.

- ▶ Initially L = 0
- L_i = value of bank ofter operation i (so $L_0 = 0$).

Operation i:

- **▶** Cost *c*_i
- "Amortized cost" $c'_i = c_i + \Delta L = c_i + L_i L_{i-1} \implies c_i = c'_i + L_{i-1} L_i$

Total cost of sequence:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(c_{i}' + L_{i-1} - L_{i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(L_{i-1} - L_{i} \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}' \right) + L_{0} - L_{n}$$

So if $L_0=0$ and $L_n\geq 0$ (bank not negative): $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i\leq \sum_{i=1}^n c_i'$



11 / 21

Bank L.

- ▶ Initially L = 0
- L_i = value of bank ofter operation i (so $L_0 = 0$).

Operation *i*:

- ► Cost *c*:
- "Amortized cost" $c'_i = c_i + \Delta L = c_i + L_i L_{i-1} \implies c_i = c'_i + L_{i-1} L_i$

Total cost of sequence:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(c'_{i} + L_{i-1} - L_{i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c'_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(L_{i-1} - L_{i} \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c'_{i} \right) + L_{0} - L_{n}$$

So if $L_0 = 0$ and $L_n \ge 0$ (bank not negative): $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \le \sum_{i=1}^n c_i'$

If $c_i' \le f(n)$ for all i, then "true" amortized cost $(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i)/n$ also at most f(n)!

Variants

Multiple banks

- Sometimes easier to keep track of / think about.
- ▶ No real difference: could think of one bank = sum of all banks



12 / 21

Variants

Multiple banks

- Sometimes easier to keep track of / think about.
- ▶ No real difference: could think of one bank = sum of all banks

Potential Functions:

- "Bank analogy": we choose how much to deposit/withdraw.
- ▶ New analogy: "potential energy". Function of state of system.
- **Proof** Rename L to Φ : all previous analysis works same!
- Sometimes easier to think about: just define once at the beginning, instead of for each operation.



September 17, 2024

Example: Binary Counter

Binary Counter

Super simple setup: binary counter stored in array **A**.

- ▶ Least significant bit in **A**[0], then **A**[1], ...
- Don't worry about length of array (infinite, or long enough)
- Only operation is increment.
- Costs 1 to flip any bit.



Binary Counter

Super simple setup: binary counter stored in array **A**.

- ▶ Least significant bit in **A**[0], then **A**[1], ...
- Don't worry about length of array (infinite, or long enough)
- Only operation is increment.
- Costs 1 to flip any bit.

n increments. Cost of most expensive increment:

Michael Dinitz

Binary Counter

Super simple setup: binary counter stored in array **A**.

- ▶ Least significant bit in **A**[**0**], then **A**[**1**], ...
- Don't worry about length of array (infinite, or long enough)
- Only operation is increment.
- Costs 1 to flip any bit.

n increments. Cost of most expensive increment: $\Theta(\log n)$.

Michael Dinitz

Binary Counter

Super simple setup: binary counter stored in array **A**.

- ▶ Least significant bit in **A**[**0**], then **A**[**1**], ...
- Don't worry about length of array (infinite, or long enough)
- Only operation is increment.
- Costs 1 to flip any bit.

n increments. Cost of most expensive increment: $\Theta(\log n)$.

What about amortized cost?



Michael Dinitz

Banks

Bank for every bit A[i]

Flip bit i from 0 to 1: add \$ to bank for i Flip bit i from 1 to 0: remove \$ from bank for i

No bank ever negative (induction)



15 / 21

Do an increment, flips k bits \implies true cost is k.

- ▶ # **0**'s flipped to **1**:
- ▶ **# 1**'s flipped to **0**:



Michael Dinitz Lecture 7: Amortized Analysis

Do an increment, flips k bits \implies true cost is k.

- ▶ # **0**'s flipped to **1**: **1**
- ▶ # 1's flipped to 0: **k** 1



16 / 21

September 17, 2024

Michael Dinitz Lecture 7: Amortized Analysis

Do an increment, flips k bits \implies true cost is k.

- ▶ # **0**'s flipped to **1**: **1**
- ▶ # 1's flipped to 0: **k** 1

Flipping ${f 1}$ to ${f 0}$ paid for by bank! Costs ${f 1}$, bank decreases by ${f 1}$

16 / 21

Do an increment, flips k bits \implies true cost is k.

- ▶ # **0**'s flipped to **1**: **1**
- ▶ # 1's flipped to 0: **k** 1

Flipping 1 to 0 paid for by bank! Costs 1, bank decreases by 1

 \implies amortized cost at most 1 (cost of flipping 0 to 1) plus 1 (increase in bank for that bit)

= 2



Do an increment, flips k bits \implies true cost is k.

- ▶ # **0**'s flipped to **1**: **1**
- ▶ # 1's flipped to 0: *k* 1

Flipping 1 to 0 paid for by bank! Costs 1, bank decreases by 1 \implies amortized cost at most 1 (cost of flipping 0 to 1) plus 1 (increase in bank for that bit) = 2

Global: Change in *total* bank is
$$-(k-1)+1=-k+2$$

 \implies amortized cost = $c + \Delta L = k + (-k+2) = 2$



Michael Dinitz

Do an increment, flips k bits \implies true cost is k.

- ▶ # **0**'s flipped to **1**: **1**
- ▶ # 1's flipped to 0: **k** 1

Flipping 1 to 0 paid for by bank! Costs 1, bank decreases by 1 \implies amortized cost at most 1 (cost of flipping 0 to 1) plus 1 (increase in bank for that bit) = 2

Global: Change in *total* bank is
$$-(k-1) + 1 = -k + 2$$

 \implies amortized cost = $c + \Delta L = k + (-k + 2) = 2$

Potential function: let $\Phi = \#1$'s in counter.

$$\implies$$
 amortized cost = $c + \Delta \Phi = k + (-k + 2) = 2$



Michael Dinitz

Example: Simple Dictionary

17 / 21

Setup

Same dictionary problem as last lecture (insert, lookup).

- Can we do something simple with just arrays (no trees)?
- Give up on worst-case: try for amortized.
 - ▶ Sorted array: inserts $\Omega(n)$ amortized (*i*'th insert could take time $\Omega(i)$)
 - Unsorted array: lookups $\Omega(n)$ amortized

Setup

Same dictionary problem as last lecture (insert, lookup).

- Can we do something simple with just arrays (no trees)?
- ▶ Give up on worst-case: try for amortized.
 - Sorted array: inserts $\Omega(n)$ amortized (*i*'th insert could take time $\Omega(i)$)
 - Unsorted array: lookups $\Omega(n)$ amortized

Solution: array of arrays!

- ightharpoonup A[i] either empty or a sorted array of exactly 2^i elements
- No relationship between arrays



Setup

Same dictionary problem as last lecture (insert, lookup).

- Can we do something simple with just arrays (no trees)?
- Give up on worst-case: try for amortized.
 - ▶ Sorted array: inserts $\Omega(n)$ amortized (*i*'th insert could take time $\Omega(i)$)
 - Unsorted array: lookups $\Omega(n)$ amortized

Solution: array of arrays!

- ightharpoonup A[i] either empty or a sorted array of exactly 2^i elements
- No relationship between arrays

Example: insert 1 - 11

$$A[0] = [5]$$
 $A[1] = [2, 8]$
 $A[2] = \emptyset$
 $A[3] = [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]$



18 / 21

Note: With n inserts, at most $\log n$ arrays.

Michael Dinitz Lecture 7: Amortized Analysis

Note: With n inserts, at most $\log n$ arrays.

Lookup(x)



19 / 21

Note: With n inserts, at most $\log n$ arrays.

Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \log(2^i) = \Theta(\log^2 n)$

19 / 21

Note: With n inserts, at most $\log n$ arrays.

Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- $Time at most \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \log(2^i) = \Theta(\log^2 n)$

Insert(x):



19 / 21

Note: With n inserts, at most $\log n$ arrays.

Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \log(2^i) = \Theta(\log^2 n)$

Insert(x):

- Create array B = [x]
- i = 0
- **▶** While **A**[*i*] ≠ Ø:
 - ▶ Merge B and A[i] to get B
 - Set $A[i] = \emptyset$
 - i + +
- ► Set **A**[i] = **B**



Note: With n inserts, at most $\log n$ arrays.

Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \log(2^i) = \Theta(\log^2 n)$

Insert(x):

- Create array B = [x]
- i = 0
- While **A**[i] ≠ Ø:
 - ▶ Merge B and A[i] to get B
 - Set $A[i] = \emptyset$
 - ▶ *i* + +
- ▶ Set **A**[i] = **B**

Example: insert 12 into

$$A[0] = [5]$$

$$A[1] = [2, 8]$$

$$A[2] = \emptyset$$

$$A[3] = [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]$$

Note: With n inserts, at most $\log n$ arrays.

Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \log(2^i) = \Theta(\log^2 n)$

Insert(x):

- Create array B = [x]
- i = 0
- **▶** While **A**[i] ≠ Ø:
 - Merge B and A[i] to get B
 - Set $A[i] = \emptyset$
 - ▶ *i* + +
- ▶ Set **A**[i] = **B**

Example: insert 12 into

$$A[0] = [5]$$

$$A[1] = [2, 8]$$

$$A[2] = \emptyset$$

$$A[3] = [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]$$

$$A[0] = \emptyset$$

$$A[1] = \emptyset$$

$$A[2] = [2,5,8,12]$$

$$A[3] = [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]$$

Concrete costs:

▶ Merging two arrays of size *m* costs 2*m*

Concrete costs:

▶ Merging two arrays of size *m* costs 2*m*

Worst case:

- Might need to do a merge for every array if all full
- Time $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} (2 \cdot 2^i) = \Theta(n)$

Michael Dinitz

Concrete costs:

▶ Merging two arrays of size *m* costs 2*m*

Worst case:

- Might need to do a merge for every array if all full
- Time $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} (2 \cdot 2^i) = \Theta(n)$

Amortized:

- Merge arrays of length 2^i one out of every 2^i inserts
- So after n inserts, have merged arrays of length 1 at most n times, arrays of length 2 at most n/2 times, arrays of length 4 at most n/4 times, ...

20 / 21

Concrete costs:

▶ Merging two arrays of size *m* costs 2*m*

Worst case:

- Might need to do a merge for every array if all full

Amortized:

- Merge arrays of length 2^i one out of every 2^i inserts
- So after n inserts, have merged arrays of length 1 at most n times, arrays of length 2 at most n/2 times, arrays of length 4 at most n/4 times, ...
- ▶ Total cost at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \frac{n}{2^{i-1}} 2^{i+1} = \Theta(n \log n)$$



Concrete costs:

▶ Merging two arrays of size *m* costs 2*m*

Worst case:

- Might need to do a merge for every array if all full
- Time $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} (2 \cdot 2^i) = \Theta(n)$

Amortized:

- Merge arrays of length 2^i one out of every 2^i inserts
- ▶ So after n inserts, have merged arrays of length 1 at most n times, arrays of length 2 at most n/2 times, arrays of length 4 at most n/4 times, ...
- ▶ Total cost at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \frac{n}{2^{i-1}} 2^{i+1} = \Theta(n \log n)$$

• Amortized cost at most $\Theta(\log n)$!



20 / 21

Multiple Operations

How do we define amortized analysis of data structures with multiple operations?

Definition

If structure supports k operations, say that operation i has amortized cost at most α_i if for every sequence which performs with at most m_i operations of type i, the total cost is at most $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i m_i$.

Multiple Operations

How do we define amortized analysis of data structures with multiple operations?

Definition

If structure supports k operations, say that operation i has amortized cost at most α_i if for every sequence which performs with at most m_i operations of type i, the total cost is at most $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i m_i$.

- When analyzing multiple operations, need to use the same bank/potential for all of them!
- With multiple operations, bounds not necessarily unique. Different amortization schemes could yield different bounds, all of which are correct and non-contradictory.